

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO | . | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------|--------|----------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| 10/688,559 | | 10/16/2003 | Christophe Pierrat | NTI-019-5-1D | NTI-019-5-1D 1175 | |
| 29477 | 7590 | 03/01/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| BEVER H | | N & HARMS, LLI | DINH, PAUL | | | |
| BLDG G | CANNON | BLVD | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| LIVERMO | RE, CA | 94550-6006 | | 2825 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAIL ED: 02/01/2006 | DATE MAIL ED: 03/01/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|---|--|--------------|
| Office A 4' O | 10/688,559 | PIERRAT ET AL. | (and |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | 700 |
| | Paul Dinh | 2825 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence ad | Idress |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. sely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/16 This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. | action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro | | e merits is |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 October 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the orange of the conference of th | a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is ob | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CI | FR 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National | |
| Attachment(s) | Pau | e Dinh | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/16/03, 3/14/05. | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | ite | O-152) |

Application/Control Number: 10/688,559

Art Unit: 2825

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 is rejected because "the original fabrication layer" on line 5 lacks antecedent basis.

Claim 3 is rejected because "the original fabrication layer" on line 5 lacks antecedent basis.

Claim 4 is rejected because "the original fabrication layer" on line 8 lacks antecedent basis.

Claim 5 is rejected because "the original fabrication layer" on line 3 lacks antecedent basis.

Claim 6 is rejected because "the original fabrication layer" on line 4 and "the segment in the fabrication layout" on line 7 lack antecedent basis.

Claim 6 is rejected because it is not clear that "the segment" on lines 8, 9, and 11 refer to "segment of an edge in the original fabrication layer" on line 4 or "segment in the fabrication layout" on line 7

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form The basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) The invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/688,559

Art Unit: 2825

Claim 1 and similarly recited claims 2-5 and 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by the prior art of record from IDS Rieger et al (USP 6289499):

Executing a routine implementing an inverse proximity effects model for a segment of an Edge in an original fabrication layer (col 1 line 60 to col 2 line 40, summary, col 4 line 51+); and

Determining a correction for the segment based on a difference between an output from the inverse proximity effects model and an initial position for the segment in the fabrication layout (col 1 line 60 to col 2 line 40, summary, col 4 line 51+).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 6 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 6 would be allowable because the prior art of record does not teach or suggest a method for fabricating a printed features layer with a combination of all the steps as recited in the claim.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Dinh whose telephone number is 571-272-1890.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, Jack Chiang can be reached on 571-272-7483. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2825

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul Dinh

Paul Dinh

Primary Examiner